## GUILT RELATED: CHILDREN ATONING FOR PARENTS' SINS

## By: Rabbi Moshe Zev Granek

Two reasons for reciting the *bracha* of *Boruch Shepotrani* by a bar mitzvah.

## 1. Magen Avraham - Till

the bar mitzvah the father is punished for son's sins, and now the son is punished for his own sins.

## 2. Levush-

the reverse. The father is reciting a brocho giving gratitude in relieving the son

from enduring punishment for the father's sin.

Question- the *pasuk* says *bonim lo yumsu al avon* avos- sons won't die on account

of sins of their fathers.

Rambam-This *passuk* is only once he

becomes an ish-an adult-but prior to then, a

son may be punished on account of his father's sins.

Chochmas Shlomo /Rav Shlomo Kluger asks on the *Levush*: It can't be that the brocho is to give gratitude for now relieving the son of punishment

for his father because it contradicts an explicit passuk in our parsha:  $uvaharon\ hisanaf\ lehashmido$ . This means that Aaron's children, who were already past bar mitzvah, were killed on account of

HaKohen's sins.

Aaron

Question- The source

for the Levush is a Rambam. And although the

question from Aaron's children is a valid question, it doesn't vacate the reality of this concept.

A possible answer is that perhaps the passuk in our parsha has a different connotation based on an apparent contradiction in two psukim.

One *passuk* is – *poiked avon avos al bonim* that implies that children are punished on account of parents sins. The other *passuk* 

- bonim lo yumsu al avon avos implies to the contrary. How to reconcile the two contradicting psukim?

The gemara answers- when sons continue in their father's path with committing the same *aveiros* as their fathers', then sons can get punished. Our *passuk* is referring to when the children are not continuing in the father's path.