

THE BAIS HAVAAD

# HALACHA JOURNAL

Family, Business, & Jewish Life Through the Prism of Halacha



VOLUME 5779 · ISSUE XXIX · PESACH · A PUBLICATION OF THE BAIS HAVAAD HALACHA CENTER

## BAKE SALE:

*Can a Transfer of Ownership Effect Biur Chametz?*

Adapted from a shiur by Rav Yosef Greenwald

### SELLING FOR TASHBISU

*Mechiras chametz*, the sale of *chametz* to a gentile before Pesach to avoid violating *bal yeira'eh uval yimatzei*, appears in the Mishna and Tosefta. But for most of Jewish history, it was employed only in exigent circumstances. Decrees in Europe four centuries ago that banned Jews from most professions led to a state where the Bach, writing in 1630s Poland, observed (O.C. 448:3) that most Jewish commerce was in liquor. As a pre-Pesach fire sale of a producer's entire inventory would mean financial ruin, the Bach permitted a distiller to sell his supply to a gentile before Pesach, without physical transfer, and then buy it back after Yom Tov—provided he also sold the warehouse and gave the buyer the key.

By two centuries ago, the current practice, in which selling *chametz* to a gentile through one's Rav is a standard part of Pesach preparations, was taking shape.

Despite this, we all still burn *chametz* on Erev Pesach. Why can't we employ *mechiras chametz* to fulfill the mitzva of *biur chametz*?

There is a dispute between Rabbi Yehuda and the *Chachamim* in the Mishna (Pesachim 21a) whether the mitzva of *tashbisu s'or mibataichem* requires burning, or if crumbling *chametz* and dispersing it in the wind or tossing it into the sea suffices. The basic Halacha follows the view of the *Chachamim* that any means of destruction is valid, although the *minhag* is that fire is preferred. (For this reason, when Erev Pesach falls on Shabbos, we flush *chametz* down the toilet.) Wouldn't a sale to a gentile also fulfill the mitzva?

The *Minchas Chinuch* (9) famously ponders whether one who owns no *chametz* must

acquire some in order to destroy it on Erev Pesach. Does *tashbisu* require an act of elimination, or simply that one not possess *chametz*? He concludes that the Torah mandates an act of *hashbasa*.

But does *hashbasa* require physical elimination, or would a legal act that removes the *chametz* from its owner's possession be effective?


The *Rishonim* say that one could fulfill the mitzva of *tashbisu* with *bitul*. And they say—with the notable exception of the Ran—that *bitul* means making one's *chametz* ownerless.

So if *bitul*, wherein the *chametz* is untouched but its ownership is changed, constitutes *tashbisu*, why wouldn't selling it to a gentile qualify as well?

It would appear that the answer is this: *Tashbisu* requires that one treat his *chametz* as worthless, something he no longer values. (See, for example, the *bitul* formula, in which we declare that our *chametz* "should be *batei* and be ownerless like the dust of the earth.") Both physically destroying *chametz* and relinquishing ownership of it via pronouncement demonstrate that the *chametz* no longer holds value for its owner. But selling would indicate the opposite. Offering an item for sale shows that the seller values it and expects that others will do so as well. He sells his *chametz* to exchange it for another valuable commodity, money. One who sells his *chametz* certainly won't violate *bal yeira'eh uval yimatzei*, because he no longer owns it. But neither will he fulfill *tashbisu* if it requires an eliminative act.

The *Rashash* (Pesachim 21b) suggests that one could fulfill *tashbisu* on Erev Pesach by eating *chametz* and letting his gastric juices consume it. But we don't find that option mentioned by the *Rishonim* and *Acharonim*, and this could be the reason: Eating

(continued on back)



### Medication on Pesach

*What should one do if their medicine is not listed on the Kosher L'Pesach list?*

If someone has a potentially life-threatening condition e.g. hypertension, diabetes, infection, asthma, stroke risk, cancer, psychiatric condition, etc. the *Poskim* maintain that one must take all their regular medication, whether it's a pill, liquid or chewable.

As far as owning the medicine, if it is *nifsal m'achilas adam* (unfit for human consumption) and it is just a mixture, there is no issue of *bal yerae*. If it is a syrup or chewable tablet, one should consult a Rav as to the best way to minimize the problem of owning *Chometz* on Pesach.

All prescription medication in the pill form may be taken as usual even for a *choleh she'ein bo sakana*. [i.e. One who is bedridden, in debilitating pain, young children with any type of illness or discomfort, or other such illnesses that are not life threatening.]

(continued on back)

## Elevate your Inbox.

Scan here to receive the weekly email version of the Halacha Journal



OR SIGN UP AT  
**BHHJ.ORG**

(continued from front pg.)

something does not demonstrate that one doesn't value it, it does the inverse.

A similar argument is made by the Chasam Sofer. The Tosefta (Pesachim 2:12) discusses the case of a man who finds himself on a ship before Pesach in possession of *chametz*. Were he to destroy his *chametz* provisions, he would starve before reaching land. The Tosefta says he should sell or gift it to a gentile passenger, but it adds the caveat (per the text of the *Geonim*) that one must not engage in pretense; the sale must be real. The Tevu'os Shor (Bechor Shor, Pesachim 21a) offers a novel understanding of contemporary *mechiras chametz*: It is indeed *ha'arama*, a pretense,

but because *bitul* eliminates the possibility of Biblical violation (Pesachim 4b, 10a), the prohibition of *chametz* is only *mideRabbanan*, and a *ha'arama*-based sale is sufficient on the *deRabbanan* level as a reinforcement of one's *bitul*. The Chasam Sofer rejects this understanding, arguing, as above, that the sentiment that underlies sale is the opposite of that behind *bitul*: *Bitul* means I *don't* value the *chametz*, selling means I *do*. The sale of one's *chametz*, far from fortifying his *bitul*, would undermine it.

May all of *Klal Yisrael* enjoy a *chag kasher v'samayach*.

(continued from front pg.)



For liquid and chewable medication, one should obtain an alternative that's

approved for Pesach. If there is merely an issue of *kitniyos*, liquids and chewables are also permitted.

It is important not to discontinue taking any prescription medications including liquid or chewable, without consulting your doctor and Rav.

PARTICIPATE IN THE BAIS HAVAAD

# MAOS CHITTIM

THAT WILL BE DISTRIBUTED TO  
DISTINGUISHED TORAH SCHOLARS  
IN NEED OF BASIC PESACH NECESSITIES

## EVENTS & HAPPENINGS

AT THE BAIS HAVAAD



### DO DIABETIC SUPPLEMENTS HAVE TO BE KOSHER L'PESACH?

This and other similar questions were the subject of PESACH & PHARMACEUTICALS, a recent seminar hosted by the Bais HaVaad Medical Halacha Center in conjunction with the OU. Rabbi Gavriel Price, Rabbinic Coordinator for Ingredient Research, and Rabbi Moshe Elefant, Chief Operating Officer, at OU Kosher, presented the issues related identifying potential *chametz*-based ingredients in pharmaceuticals, dietary supplements, and vitamins.



### BAIS HAVAAD HALACHA CENTER

RABBI YEHOShUA WOLFE, MENAHEL  
RABBI YEHOShUA GREENSPAN,  
SAFRA D'DAYNA

105 River Ave, #301, Lakewood, NJ 08701  
1.888.485.VAAD (8223)  
www.baishavaad.org  
info@thehalachacenter.org



Business Halacha Services



Bais Din & Dispute Resolution



Zichron Gershon Kollel for Dayanus



Medical Halacha Center



Kehilla & Bais Din Primacy Initiative



Halachic Awareness & Education

Midwest Rabbi Dovid Aron Gross  
Brooklyn Rabbi Dovid Housman  
South Florida Rabbi Yosef Galimidi, Menahel  
Rabbi Meir Benguigui, Safra D'dayna

לענ"ר ר' דוד ב"ר משה  
גרוממן ז"ל

NAFTALI   
www.naftaliinc.com

To become a corporate sponsor of the BHHJ or disseminate in memory/zechus of a loved one email [info@baishavaad.org](mailto:info@baishavaad.org)